



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/697,395	10/27/2000	Topi Koskinen	460-009824-US(PAR)	2829

7590
Clarence A. Green
Perman & Green, LLP
425 Post Road
Fairfield, CT 06430

05/08/2007

EXAMINER

SEFCHECK, GREGORY B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2616

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

05/08/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/697,395

Applicant(s)

KOSKINEN ET AL.

Examiner

Gregory B. Sefcheck

Art Unit

2616

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 March 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 10-22 and 24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 10-22 and 24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

- Applicant's Request for Continued Examination filed 3/8/2007 is acknowledged
- Claims 1, 5, 11-15, 17-20, and 24 have been amended.
- Claims 9 and 23 had been previously cancelled.
- Claims 1-8, 10-22, and 24 remain pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 10-14, 16-19, 21-22, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frid et al. (US006560239B1), hereafter Frid.

- In regards to Claim 1, 2, 8, 10, 11, 16, 18, 21, 22, and 24

Frid discloses a method, system, terminal, and software implementation for retaining a packet data (first) connection in a wireless system during a circuit-switched (second) connection to the wireless terminal (Title; Abstract; Col. 4, lines 33-52; claims 1,11,18,24 – first connection is a packet connection and second connection is a circuit-switched connection; claims 10,16,22 – terminal is a wireless terminal and network is a mobile communication network).

Referring to Fig. 3, Frid shows establishing a packet data connection between a terminal and a packet-switched network, including negotiating a communications protocol with a peer, such as a server associated with an Internet Service Provider or ISP (302-310; Col. 5, lines 20-30; claim 1,11,18,24 – means for establishing data connection between application server of network and terminal using packet data service as bearer).

Frid further shows establishing a circuit-switched connection between the terminal and the network (312-316; claim 1,11,18,24 – means for establishing circuit-switched connection between network and terminal).

Frid shows that the terminal sends a message (318) for interrupting the packet data connection, but maintaining the connection protocol communication with the server, while accepting the circuit-switched connection (320-336; claim 1,11,18,24 – circuit for interrupting the packet data service for the time of the circuit-switched connection; claim 1,11,18,24 – circuit for setting up a message for maintaining the packet data connection in connection with setting up of the circuit-switched connection; claim 1,11,18,24 – circuit for automatically starting the setting up of the message maintaining the packet data connection; claim 1,11,18,24 – circuit for transmitting message in connection with establishing circuit-switched connection; claim 2 – message for maintaining the packet data connection is generated in the terminal and transmitted from the terminal to the server of the network; claim 8,21 – maintenance message is supplemented with a “no operation” command).

Frid does not explicitly disclose the message includes a command to reset an application level time-out counter in the server.

However, Frid discloses that any applications associated with the packet data connection that have not timed-out may be re-established upon termination of the circuit-switched call and reactivation of the packet data connection (Abstract; Col. 3, lines 5-7; Col. 7, lines 15-18; Col. 8, lines 30-55; Col. 9, lines 30-41). Therefore, the setting (resetting) of a timer or counter associated with the applications of the interrupted/maintained packet data connection would monitor for the disclosed time-out.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to explicitly include a command to reset a time-out counter for purposes of re-establishing applications associated with the packet data connection in the message of Frid. One would be motivated to make such a modification because applications of packet data connections that are interrupted and maintained during an accepted circuit-switched call can only be re-established if they have not timed-out (Frid, above citations). Therefore, setting a counter upon interruption would allow for the monitoring of time-out conditions for the applications of the packet data connection.

- In regards to Claim 3 and 13,

Frid discloses a method, system, terminal, and software implementation for retaining a packet data (first) connection in a wireless system during a circuit-switched (second) connection to the wireless terminal that covers all limitations of the parent claims.

Frid shows that the network maintains the parameters of the packet data connection (claim 3,13 – message for maintaining the PPP parameters of the packet data connection is set up at the peer – server – to which the terminal is connected) following receiving an acceptance message from the terminal for the circuit-switched connection (Fig. 3, 318-322; Col. 7, lines 32-65; claim 3,13 – sending information about interrupting the packet data connection from the terminal to the network).

- In regards to Claim 4 and 14,

Frid discloses a method, system, terminal, and software implementation for retaining a packet data (first) connection in a wireless system during a circuit-switched (second) connection to the wireless terminal that covers all limitations of the parent claims.

Referring to Fig. 3, Frid shows that the method and terminal receives a message requesting to set up a circuit-switched connection (316; claim 4,14 – circuit to receive message to setup circuit-switched connection at the terminal).

Frid further shows that the acceptance of the circuit-switched connection (324) is transmitted from the terminal to the network after the maintenance information for the packet data connection is transmitted (318-320; claim 4,14 – circuit for transmitting reply message to the request for the circuit-switched connection from terminal to network after the message for maintaining the packet data connection is transmitted).

- In regards to Claim 6, 7, and 19,

Frid discloses a method, system, terminal, and software implementation for retaining a packet data (first) connection in a wireless system during a circuit-switched (second) connection to the wireless terminal that covers all limitations of the parent claims.⁴

Frid shows that the packet data connection may communicate information between the network and a termination endpoint, such as the Internet or a server on a LAN (Col. 1, lines 27-35; Col. 5, lines 20-30; claim 6,7,19 – network communicates with a LAN/Internet; claim 6,7,19 – packet data connection is between terminal and server in LAN/Internet)

When the circuit-switched connection is accepted and the maintenance of the packet data connection is set up, the maintenance message is received at the termination endpoint (Fig. 3, 318-322; Col. 7, lines 57-65; claim 6,7,19 – network transmits maintenance message to server/Internet).

- In regards to Claim 12,

Frid discloses a method, system, terminal, and software implementation for retaining a packet data (first) connection in a wireless system during a circuit-switched (second) connection to the wireless terminal that covers all limitations of the parent claims.

Frid shows that the terminal is equipped to generate and transmit a message to the network indicating that the packet data connection is to be maintained during a

circuit-switched connection (Fig. 3, 318-322; Col. 7, lines 18-55; claim 12 – circuit for generating and means for transmitting the message for maintaining the packet data connection).

- In regards to Claim 17,

Frid discloses a method, system, terminal, and software implementation for retaining a packet data (first) connection in a wireless system during a circuit-switched (second) connection to the wireless terminal that covers all limitations of the parent claims.

Frid discloses a terminal that comprises circuitry for processing (processor; claim 17 – terminal comprises a data processor) messages for the retention of a packet data connection for the duration of a circuit-switched connection (Fig. 3, 318-322; Col. 11, lines 6-31; claim 17 – means for setting up message for maintaining the packet data connection are arranged in the data processor).

3. Claims 5, 15, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frid in view of Chen et al. (US006198945B1), hereafter Chen.

- In regards to Claim 5, 15 and 20,

Frid discloses a method, system, terminal, and software implementation for retaining a packet data (first) connection in a wireless system during a circuit-switched

(second) connection to the wireless terminal that covers all limitations of the parent claims.

Frid does not explicitly show selecting and adding a telephone number to the message for setting up the circuit-switched connection. Frid also does not show transmitting the maintenance message for the packet data connection after selecting the telephone number but before setting up the circuit-switched connection.

Chen discloses a method and system that enables a mobile terminal to place a first connection on hold while initiating a second connection by selecting a telephone number and adding that number to a message for setting up a second connection (Fig. 3, Col. 6, lines 15-63; claim 5,15,20 – circuit to select and add a telephone number to message for setting up the second connection; claim 5,15,20 – message maintaining the packet data connection is transmitted after the selection of a telephone number, before setting up the circuit-switched connection)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method, system, and terminal of Frid by selecting a telephone number for setting up the circuit-switched connection before maintaining the packet data connection and setting up the circuit-switched connection, as shown by Chen. This modification would allow a packet data connection to be maintained during either an incoming or an outgoing circuit-switched connection.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 3/8/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- In the Remarks on pg. 10 of the Amendment, the Applicant contends that Frid does not pertain to solving the same problem as the present application, where Frid deals with re-establishment of the PS bearer and the present application pertains to application-level timeout during an interrupted PS bearer. Applicant contends that the present claim amendments are made to illustrate these differences and differentiate from Frid.
- The Examiner respectfully disagrees. While Frid does pertain to re-establishment of a packet-switched bearer service after interruption by a circuit-switched call, as stated by Applicant, Frid also acknowledges the reactivation of applications associated with the packet-switched bearer as long as the applications have not timed-out (See Frid, citations provided in the rejection above). The Examiner acknowledges that Frid does not explicitly disclose application level timers. However, the disclosures in Frid do suggest such a modification, since determination of application time-out would require some way of monitoring for that time-out condition.
- In the Remarks on pg. 11 of the Amendment, Applicant contends that resetting a time-out counter/timer in Frid would provide no benefit.

- The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Frid discloses several times in the provided citations that enabling reactivation of packet data applications interrupted by a circuit-switched call without reinitiation of the applications would provide the benefit of considerable time savings (e.g. Col. 7, lines 15-18). Furthermore, in applying the example situation provided by Applicant on pg. 11, in which application level connections may be maintained by sending "heartbeat" packets at predetermined intervals to keep the application connection alive when there is no other application level traffic, Applicant alleges that a time-out timer reset would be unnecessary in Frid since the timer would have been reset with the latest (heartbeat) packet. However, Applicant illustrates the alleged lack of benefit and motivation by stating that the heartbeat packet maintains an otherwise idle application connection *provided the PS bearer exists* (emphasis added). In Frid, the PS bearer is interrupted during an accepted circuit-switched call, thereby preventing subsequent heartbeat packets for maintaining application level connections. Therefore, resetting the time-out timer at the time of accepting the circuit-switched call rather than relying on the latest heartbeat packet, as suggested by Applicant, would provide the longest amount of time possible for the application to be reinitiated after termination of the accepted circuit-switched call.

- In the Remarks on pg. 12 of the Amendment, Applicant reiterates arguments presented on 1/20/2006 and again on 8/28/2006, in which Applicant contends that there is no motivation to combine Chen with Frid because Chen discusses only circuit switched call signaling and does not mention a data connection.
- The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Chen and Frid are analogous because they both pertain to call signaling in a communications network. Chen is not required to disclose a data connection in order to disclose the claim limitations that are not explicitly shown by Frid. Those limitations involve the call signaling for setting up of a circuit-switched call. Therefore, the teachings of Chen are applicable to aspects of the circuit-switched connection setup in Frid, and the combination thereof is proper.

Conclusion

1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Shaffer et al. (US006384853B1)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory B. Sefcheck whose telephone number is 571-272-3098. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00am-4:30pm.

Art Unit: 2616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GBS *GBS*
5-2-2007

Seema S. Rao
SEEMA S. RAO *513107*
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600